arXiv:1409.7203v3 [math.FA] 18 Jun 2015

CONSTRUCTION OF WARPED TIME-FREQUENCY REPRESENTATIONS ON NONUNIFORM FREQUENCY SCALES, PART I: FRAMES

NICKI HOLIGHAUS† AND CHRISTOPH WIESMEYR*

Abstract. A flexible method for constructing nonuniform filter banks is presented. Starting from a uniform system of translates, a nonuniform covering of the frequency axis is obtained by nonlinear evaluation. The frequency progression is determined by a warping function that can be chosen freely apart from some minor restrictions. The resulting functions are interpreted as filter frequency responses and, combined with appropriately chosen downsampling factors/translation parameters, give rise to a nonuniform analysis filter bank. Classical Gabor and wavelet filter banks are obtained as special cases. Beyond the state of the art, we construct a filter bank adapted to the auditory ERB frequency scale and families of filter banks that can be interpreted as an interpolation between linear (Gabor) and logarithmic (Wavelet, ERBlet) frequency scales, closely related to the α-transform. We investigate conditions on the prototype filter and and warping function, such that the resulting warped filter bank forms a frame. In particular, we obtain a simple and constructive method for obtaining tight frames with bandlimited filters by invoking previous results on generalized shift-invariant systems.

1. Introduction

This is the first part of a 2 manuscript series about the properties of a novel family of time-frequency representations. The paper at hand is concerned with the introduction of warped time-frequency systems and the derivation of necessary and sufficient conditions for a warped time-frequency system to form a frame. Such systems are of particular importance, since they allow for stable recovery of signals from their inner products with the elements of the function system. To demonstrate the flexibility and importance of the proposed time-frequency systems, we provide illustrative examples recreating (or imitating) classical time-frequency representations such as Gabor [29, 31, 25, 26], wavelet [39, 13] or α-transforms [11, 27, 42]. While this paper considers the setting of (discrete) Hilbert space frames, the properties of continuous warped time-frequency systems are investigated in the second part of this series [36].

Time-frequency (or time-scale) representations are an indispensable tool for signal analysis and processing. The most widely used and most thoroughly explored such representations are certainly Gabor and wavelet transforms and their variations, e.g. windowed modified cosine [47, 48] or wavelet packet [10] transforms. The aforementioned transforms unite two very important properties: There are various, well-known necessary and/or sufficient conditions for stable inversion from the transform coefficients, i.e. for the generating function system to form a frame. In addition to the perfect reconstruction property, the frame property ensures stability of the synthesis operation after coefficient modification, enabling controlled time-frequency processing. Furthermore, efficient algorithms for the computation of the transform coefficients and the synthesis operation exist for each of the mentioned transforms [53, 39].

While providing a sound and well-understood mathematical foundation, Gabor and wavelet transforms are designed to follow two specified frequency scales, i.e. linear and logarithmic, respectively. A wealth of approaches exists to soften this restriction, e.g. decompositions using filter banks [5], in particular based on perceptive frequency scales [33]. Adaptation over time is considered in approaches such as modulated lapped transforms [40], adapted local trigonometric transforms [55] or (time-varying) wavelet packets [49]. Techniques that jointly offer flexible time-frequency resolution and redundancy, the perfect reconstruction property and efficient computation are scarce however. The setting of so-called nonstationary Gabor transforms, a recent generalization [2] of classical Gabor transforms, provides the latter 2 properties while allowing for freely chosen time progression and varying resolution. In this construction, the frequency scale is still linear, but the sampling density may be changed over time. The properties of nonstationary Gabor systems are a matter of ongoing investigation, but a number of results already exist [35, 17, 16]. When desiring increased flexibility along frequency, generalized shift-invariant systems [50, 34, 6], or equivalently (nonuniform) *filter banks* [1], provide the analogous concept. They offer full flexibility in frequency, with a linear time progression, but flexible sampling density across the filters. Analogous, continuously indexed systems are considered in [52, 37] Indeed, nonstationary Gabor systems are equivalent to filter banks via an application of the (inverse) Fourier transform to the generating functions. Note that all the widely used transforms mentioned in the previous paragraph can be interpreted as filter banks.

In this contribution, we investigate a particular family of filter bank frames over $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$, where the filter frequency responses are obtained from a system of integer translates of a single prototype function by a nonlinear evaluation process, determined by a *warping function* that specifies the desired frequency scale/progression. We will show that appropriate sampling steps for the individual filters can be obtained from the derivative of the warping function. A core asset of our construction is the ease with which tight filter bank frames with bandlimited filters can be created.

Related work. The idea of a logarithmic warping of the frequency axis to obtain wavelet systems from a system of translates is not entirely new and was first used in the proof of the so called painless conditions for wavelets systems [14]. However, the idea has never been relaxed to other frequency scales so far. While the parallel work by Christensen and Goh [8] focuses on exposing the duality between Gabor and wavelet systems via the mentioned logarithmic warping, we will allow for more general warping functions to generate time-frequency transformations beyond wavelet and Gabor systems. The warping procedure we propose has already proven useful in the area of graph signal processing [51].

A number of other methods for obtaining *warped filter banks* have been proposed, e.g. by applying a unitary basis transformation to Gabor or wavelet atoms [4, 3, 19, 20]. Although unitary transformation bequeaths basis (or frame) properties to the warped atoms, the resulting system is not anymore a filter bank. Instead, the warped system provides an undesirable, irregular time-frequency tiling, see [19].

Closer to our own approach, Braccini and Oppenheim [46], as well as Twaroch and Hlawatsch [54], propose a warping of the filter frequency responses only, by defining a unitary warping operator. However, in ensuring unitarity, the authors give up the property that warping is shape preserving when observed on the warped frequency scale. In this contribution, we trade the unitary operator for a shape preserving warping in order to construct tight and well-conditioned frames more easily.

Organization of this paper. The manuscript begins with a short recollection of results from the theory of nonuniform filter bank frames (Section 2), before describing the construction of warped

time-frequency systems and the induced conditions on the warping function and prototype function (Section 3). In Section 4, we derive conditions on a warped time-frequency system to form a frame. Here we also see how the warping approach results in a straightforward procedure for the construction of tight frames from a compactly supported prototype function.

2. Preliminaries

We use the following normalization of the Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(\xi) := \mathcal{F}f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)e^{-2\pi it\xi}\, dt, \text{for all } f \in \mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R})$$

and its unitary extension to $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$. Further, we require the *modulation operator* and the *translation operator* defined by $\mathbf{M}_\omega f = f \cdot e^{2\pi i\omega(\cdot)}$ and $\mathbf{T}_x f = f(\cdot - x)$ respectively for all $f \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$. The composition $f(g(\cdot))$ of two functions f and g is denoted by $f \circ g$.

When discussing the properties of the constructed function systems in the following sections, we will repeatedly use the notions of weight functions and weighted $\mathbf{L}^2$-spaces. Weighted $\mathbf{L}^2$-spaces are defined as

$$\mathbf{L}^2_w(D) := \left\{ f : D \mapsto \mathbb{C} \ : \ \int_D w^2(t)|f(t)|^2\, dt < \infty \right\},$$

with a continuous, nonnegative function $w : D \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ called *weight function*. The associated norm is defined as expected. Two special classes of weight functions are of particular interest.

Definition 1.

- A weight function $v : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is called *submultiplicative* if

$$v(x+y) \le v(x)v(y). \tag{1}$$

- A weight function $v : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is called *v-moderate* if

$$w(x+y) \le Cv(x)w(y), \tag{2}$$

  for some submultiplicative weight function v and constant $C > 0$.

Submultiplicative and moderate weight functions are an important concept in the theory of function spaces, as they are closely related to the translation invariance of the corresponding weighted spaces [21, 31], see also [32] for an in depth analysis of weight functions and their role in harmonic analysis.

In digital signal processing, function systems formed as union of a finite number translation-invariant systems over $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with possibly varying translation step are called nonuniform (analysis) filter banks. In this contribution we consider a countable union of systems of translates over $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbf{L}^2(D))$, where D is an unbounded interval contained in $\mathbb{R}$, but use filter bank terminology by analogy. Filter bank frames have received considerable attention, e.g. in [5], as (generalized) shift-invariant systems in [38, 34, 50] and as (frequency-side) nonstationary Gabor systems in [2, 17, 16, 35].

Definition 2. Let $\mathbf{g} = (g_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbf{L}^2(D)$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$. The we call the system

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{a}) = (g_{m,n})_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}, \quad g_{m,n} := T_{na_m}\widetilde{g_m}, \tag{3}$$

a *(nonuniform) filter bank* for $\mathbf{L}^2(D)$. The windows $\mathbf{g}$ are called *frequency responses* and $\mathbf{a}$ are the corresponding *downsampling factors*.

Such filter banks can be used to analyze signals whose Fourier transform is in $\mathbf{L}^2(D)$. For a given signal $\hat{f} \in \mathbf{L}^2(D)$ we call $c_f = (\langle f, g_{m,n} \rangle)_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ the filter bank *analysis coefficients*. For many applications it is of great importance to be able to stably reconstruct from these coefficients, which is equivalent to the existence of constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$, s.t.

$$A\|\hat{f}\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(D)} \leq \|c_f\|^2_{l^2} \leq B\|\hat{f}\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(D)}, \text{ for all } \hat{f} \in \mathbf{L}^2(D). \tag{4}$$

A system that satisfies this condition is called *frame* [18, 7], and the frame operator

$$\mathbf{S} : \mathbf{L}^2(D) \to \mathbf{L}^2(D), \quad \mathbf{S}\hat{f} = \sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}} c_f(m,n)\widehat{g_{m,n}}. \tag{5}$$

is invertible. In this case, the *canonical dual frame* $\left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{S}^{-1}\widehat{g_{m,n}}\right)_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}} =: (\widehat{\widetilde{g_{m,n}}})_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ allows for reconstruction from the analysis coefficients, i.e.

$$\hat{f} = \sum_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}} c_f(m,n)\widehat{\widetilde{g_{m,n}}}, \text{ for all } \hat{f} \in \mathbf{L}^2(D). \tag{6}$$

Remark 1. The frame property and frame operator are commonly defined in the signal domain. Since our results are based on their frequency side equivalent, we use the Fourier domain variants instead. The signal domain frame operator is obtained as $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{S}\mathcal{F}$.

2.1. **Necessary and sufficient conditions for filter bank frames.** We are formerly interested in filter banks that satisfy the frame property Eq. (4), i.e. filter bank frames. The two results presented in this section will be required in Section 4 to show that the warped filter bank construction we propose provides nicely structured time-frequency systems with intuitive and easily satisfied frame and tight frame conditions. We begin with the following proposition that combines [2, Corollary 1] with [35, Proposition 2] and [6, Theorem 2.9, Proposition 2.8].

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{a})$ be a filter bank for $\mathbf{L}^2(D)$.*

(i) *If $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{a})$ is a Bessel sequence with bound $B < \infty$, then*

$$\sum_m \frac{1}{a_m}|g_m(t)|^2 \leq B < \infty, \text{ for almost all } t \in D. \tag{7}$$

If $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{a})$ is a frame with lower frame bound $0 < A$ and satisfying a local integrability condition (cp. [34]*), then*

$$0 < A \leq \sum_m \frac{1}{a_m}|g_m(t)|^2, \text{ for almost all } t \in D. \tag{8}$$

(ii) *Assume that, there are some constants $c_m, d_m \in \mathbb{R}$, such that* $\operatorname{supp}(g_m) \subseteq [c_m, d_m]$ *and a_m satisfies $a_m^{-1} \geq d_m - c_m$, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{a})$ forms a frame for $\mathbf{L}^2(D)$ if and only if*

$$0 < A \leq \sum_m \frac{1}{a_m}|g_m(t)|^2 \leq B < \infty, \text{ for almost all } t \in D. \tag{9}$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{G}(\widetilde{\mathbf{g}}, \mathbf{a})$, where

$$\widehat{\widetilde{g_m}} = \frac{g_m}{\sum_k \frac{1}{a_m}|g_m|^2} \tag{10}$$

is a dual frame for $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{a})$.

We refer to the setup in Proposition 1(ii) as the *painless case*, a generalization of the classical painless nonorthogonal expansions introduced in [14]. The *local integrability condition* referenced in Proposition 1(i) was first used in [34], playing a crucial role in proving frame-theoretical results for filter banks therein and in following work [37, 6]. Similar to the previous proposition, the following theorem is a generalization of a sufficient frame condition for Gabor and wavelet systems, first proven by Daubechies in [12].

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{a})$ be a filter bank for $\mathbf{L}^2(D)$. If*

$$A := \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{t \in D} \left[\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{a_m} \left(|g_m(t)|^2 - \sum_{k \neq 0} |g_m(t) \overline{g_m}(t - k/a_m)| \right) \right] > 0 \tag{11}$$

$$B := \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t \in D} \left[\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{a_m} |g_m(t) \overline{g_m}(t - k/a_m)| \right] < \infty, \tag{12}$$

almost everywhere, then $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{a})$ constitutes a frame for $\mathbf{L}^2(D)$. Furthermore, we have $A \leq A_0 \leq B_0 \leq B$, where A_0, B_0 are the optimal frame bounds for $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{a})$.

The sufficient Bessel condition (12) has additional significance, since it ensures the α *local integrability condition* to hold for the filter bank $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{a})$, see [37, Lemma 3.8]. The α-LIC, introduced in [37], is a weaker variant of the local integrability condition that is still sufficient to obtain many frame-related results.

The proof of Theorem 1 is somewhat lengthy and very similar to the proof of the analogous conditions for Gabor and wavelet frames that can be found in [13]. It can also be seen as a corollary of [9, Theorem 3.4]. Therefore, we do not provide the proof here.

Remark 2. By itself, Theorem 1 is not a particularly constructive result for the purpose of finding frames among general, unstructured filter banks. As a mild generalization of the painless case condition in Proposition 1(ii) it suggests that sufficient overlap of the g_m is sufficient for the frame property when combined with the g_m being *almost* compactly supported on intervals of the length a_m^{-1}, i.e. there exist c_m and $d_m = c_m + a_m^{-1}$ such that $\|g_m|_{\mathbb{R} \setminus [c_m, d_m]}\|_2 \ll \|g_m\|_2$ for all m. However, the real value of Theorem 1 can be seen when combined with structural assumptions on $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{a})$, similar to the results on nonstationary Gabor systems in [17]. Its implications in our setting are explored in Section 4.

3. Warped filter banks

The goal of this contribution is the construction of filter banks with uniform frequency resolution on general nonlinear frequency scales, as well as the investigation of the frame properties of these systems. Our method is based on the simple premise that the filter frequency responses should be translates of each other when viewed on the desired frequency scale. The frequency scale itself is determined by the so-called *warping function*. Generally, any bijective, continuous and increasing function $F : D \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, where D is an interval, specifies a frequency scale on D. For simplicity, we only consider the two most important cases $D = \mathbb{R}$ and $D = \mathbb{R}^+$ in this contribution.

The warped system of frequency responses corresponding to the prototype $\theta : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ and warping function F is simply given by

$$(\theta_{F,m})_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}, \text{ where } \theta_{F,m} = (T_m \theta) \circ F. \tag{13}$$

This method allows for a large amount of flexibility when selecting the desired frequency scale, but we also recover classical time-frequency and time-scale systems: Clearly, we obtain a regular system of translates for any linear function F, leading to Gabor systems. On the other hand, observing $(T_m\theta)\circ\log_a = (\theta\circ\log_a)(\cdot/a^m)$ shows that logarithmic F provides a system of dilates, resulting in wavelet systems. In order to obtain *nice* systems, the derivative of the inverse warping function $(F^{-1})'$ must be a v-moderate weight function.

Definition 3. Let $D\in\{\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}^+\}$. A bijective function $F: D\to\mathbb{R}$ is called *warping function*, if $F\in\mathcal{C}^1(D)$ with $F'>0$, $|t_0|<|t_1|\Rightarrow F'(t_1)\leq F'(t_0)$ and the associated weight function

$$w(t) = \left(F^{-1}\right)'(t) = \frac{1}{F'\left(F^{-1}(t)\right)}, \tag{14}$$

is v-moderate for some submultiplicative weight v. If $D=\mathbb{R}$, we additionally require F to be odd.

Remark 3. Moderateness of $w=\left(F^{-1}\right)'$ ensures translation invariance of the associated weighted $\mathbf{L}^p$ spaces. In particular,

$$\|(T_m\theta)\circ F\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2(D)} = \|T_m\theta\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2_{\sqrt{w}}(\mathbb{R})} \leq Cv(m)\|\theta\|^2_{\mathbf{L}^2_{\sqrt{w}}(\mathbb{R})} \tag{15}$$

holds for all $\theta\in\mathbf{L}^2_{\sqrt{w}}(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark 4. The definition above only allows warping functions with nonincreasing derivative and, if $D=\mathbb{R}$, we also require point-symmetry. Both constraints can be easily weakened by extending the warping function definition to any function F, such that there exists another function F_0 satisfying $F^{-1}(0)=F_0^{-1}(0)$ and $F'(x)\geq F_0'(x)$, with F_0 being a warping function as per Definition 3. The results presented in this paper hold for such warping functions F. Since all the examples that we wish to discuss have nonincreasing derivative in the first place, we will omit the details of working with two distinct warping functions F, F_0.

A warped filter bank is now defined simply by selecting a prototype θ, a warping function F and a family of time steps a_m. While the latter can be chosen freely, the warping function induces some canonical choice of downsampling factors, which relates a_m^{-1} to the essential support of the frequency responses and is particularly suited for the creation of warped filter bank frames, see Section 4.

Definition 4. Let $F: D\to\mathbb{R}$ be a warping function and $\theta\in\mathbf{L}^2_{\sqrt{w}}(\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore, let $\mathbf{a}=(a_m)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a set of downsampling factors. Then the *warped filter bank* associated to θ and $\mathbf{a}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{G}(\theta,F,\mathbf{a}) = \left(T_{na_m}\widetilde{g_m}\right)_{m,n\in\mathbb{Z}}, \tag{16}$$

with

$$g_m = \sqrt{a_m}\theta_{F,m}, \tag{17}$$

and $\theta_{F,m}$ as defined in Eq. (13).

We further call $a_m=\tilde{a}/(Cv(m))$, with C and v as in Eq. (2) for some $\tilde{a}>0$ *natural downsampling factors*, as they lead to frequency responses with bounded L^2-norms.

To conclude this section we give some examples of warping functions that are of particular interest, as they encompass important frequency scales. In Proposition 2 at the end of this section, we show that the presented examples indeed define warping functions in the sense of Definition 3.

Example 1 (Wavelets). Choosing $F = \log$, with $D = \mathbb{R}^+$ leads to a system of the form

$$\theta_{F,m}(t) = \theta(\log(t) - m) = \theta_{F,0}(\log(te^{-m})).$$

This warping function therefore leads to $\theta_{F,m}$ being a dilated version of $\theta_{F,0}$ up to normalization. The natural downsampling factors are given by $a_m = \tilde{a}/v(m) = \tilde{a}e^{-m}$. This shows that $\mathcal{G}(\theta, \log, \tilde{a}e^{-m})$ is indeed a wavelet system, with the minor modification that our scales are reciprocal to the usual definition of wavelets.

Example 2. The family of warping functions $F_l(t) = c\left((t/d)^l - (t/d)^{-l}\right)$, for some $c, d > 0$ and $l \in (0, 1]$, is an alternative to the logarithmic warping for the domain $D = \mathbb{R}^+$. The logarithmic warping in the previous example can be interpreted as the limit of this family for $l \to 0$ in the sense that for any fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$F_l'(t) = \frac{lc}{d}\left((t/d)^{-1+l} + (t/d)^{-1-l}\right) \overset{l\to 0}{\to} \frac{2lc}{t} = \frac{2lc}{d}\log'(t/d).$$

This type of warping provides a frequency scale that approaches the limits 0 and ∞ of the frequency range D in a slower fashion than the wavelet warping. In other words, $\theta_{F_l,m}(t)$ is less deformed for $m > 0$, but more deformed for $m < 0$ than in the case $F = \log$. Furthermore, the property that $\theta_{F,m}$ can be expressed as a dilated version of $\theta_{F,0}$ is lost.

Example 3 (ERBlets). In psychoacoustics, the investigation of filter banks adapted to the spectral resolution of the human ear has been subject to a wealth of research, see [41] for an overview. We mention here the Equivalent Rectangular Bandwidth scale (ERB-scale) described in [30], which introduces a set of bandpass filters following the human perception. In [43] the authors construct a filter bank that is designed to be adapted to the ERB-scale. In our terminology the ERB warping function is given by

$$F_{\mathrm{ERB}}(t) = \mathrm{sgn}(t)\; c_1 \log\left(1 + \frac{|t|}{c_2}\right),$$

where the constants are given by $c_1 = 9.265$ and $c_2 = 228.8$. Using this function, we can construct a similar filter bank, but with better control over the frame properties. The transform based on an ERB filter bank has potential applications in sound signal processing, as it provides a perfectly invertible transform adapted to the human perception of sound.

Example 4. The warping function $F(t) = \mathrm{sgn}(t)\left((|t|+1)^l - 1\right)$ for some $l \in (0, 1)$ leads to a filter bank that is structurally very similar to the *α-transform*, see [22, 11, 27, 42].

The α-transform provides a family of time-frequency transforms with varying time-frequency resolution. The time-frequency atoms are constructed from a single prototype by a combination of translation, modulation and dilation:

$$g_{x,\xi}(t) = \eta_\alpha(x)^{1/2} e^{2\pi i x t} g\left(\eta_\alpha(x)(t - \xi)\right),$$

for $\eta_\alpha(x) = (1 + |x|)^\alpha$, with $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. If $\mathcal{F}g$ is a symmetric bump function centered at frequency 0, with a bandwidth[1] of 1, then $\mathcal{F}g_{x,0}$ is a symmetric bump function centered at frequency x, with a bandwidth of $\eta_\alpha(x)$. Up to a phase factor, $g_{x,\xi} = \mathbf{T}_\xi g_{x,0}$. Varying α, one can *interpolate* between the Gabor transform ($\alpha = 0$, constant time-frequency resolution) and a wavelet-like (or more precisely ERB-like) transform with the dilation depending linearly on the center frequency ($\alpha = 1$).

[1]The exact definition of bandwidth, e.g. frequency support or −3db bandwidth, is not important for this example.

Through our construction, we can obtain a transform with similar properties by using the warping functions $F_l(t) = l^{-1}\mathrm{sgn}(t)\left((1+|t|)^l - 1\right)$, for $l \in]0,1]$, and $F(t) = \mathrm{sgn}(t)\log(1+|t|)$, introduced here and in Example 3. Take θ a symmetric bump function centered at frequency 0, with a bandwidth of 1. Then $\theta(F(t) - F(x))$ is still a bump function with peak frequency x, but only symmetric if $l = 1$ or $x = 0$. Moreover, the bandwidth of $\theta(F(t) - F(x))$ equals

$$F^{-1}(F(x) + 1/2) - F^{-1}(F(x) - 1/2) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} (F^{-1})'(F(x) + s)\, ds \approx 1/F'(x).$$

Note that $F'(x) = (1+|x|)^{l-1} = 1/\eta_{1-l}(x)$, for $l \in]0,1]$, and for $F(t) = \mathrm{sgn}(t)\log(1+|t|)$ we obtain $F'(x) = (1+|x|)^{-1} = 1/\eta_1(x)$. Finally, the time-frequency atoms are again obtained by translation of $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\theta(F(t) - m)$. All in all, it can be expected that the obtained warped filter banks provide a time-frequency representation very similar to sampled α-transforms with the corresponding choice of α.



FIGURE 1. (left) Warping functions from Examples 1 and 2: This plot shows logarithmic (wavelet) warping function (black) and $F_l = l^{-1}(x^l - x^{-l})$, for $l = 1$ (light gray), $l = 0.5$ (dark gray) and $l = 0.8$ (medium gray). Note that the horizontal axis is logarithmic. (right) Warping functions from Examples 3 and 4: This plot shows the ERBlet warping function and $F_l = l^{-1}\mathrm{sgn}(t)((1+|t|)^l - 1)$, for $l = 1$ (light gray), $l = 0.5$ (dark gray) and $l = 0.8$ (medium gray). The horizontal axis is linear.

Proposition 2. *The following functions are warping functions:*

(a) $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R},\ t \mapsto c\log(t/d)$ *for some* $c, d > 0$.
(b) $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R},\ t \mapsto c\left((t/d)^l - (t/d)^{-l}\right)$ *for some* $c, d > 0$ *and* $l \in (0,1]$.
(c) $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R},\ t \mapsto sgn(t)\, c\log(1+|t|/d)$ *for some* $c, d > 0$.
(d) $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R},\ t \mapsto sgn(t)\, c\left((|t|/d+1)^l - 1\right)$ *for some* $l \in (0,1]$.

Proof. (a): In the first case we find that

$$w(x) = \left(F^{-1}\right)'(x) = \frac{d}{c}\, e^{x/c}.$$

For this weight function we find $w(x+y) = e^{x/c} w(y)$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that the weight function $v(x) = e^{x/c}$ is submultiplicative. Therefore w is indeed v-moderate.

(b): In the second case, we assume $c = d = 1$ for simplicity. F is in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and

$$w(x) = \left(F^{-1}\right)'(x) = \frac{1}{F'(F^{-1}(x))} = l^{-1} \frac{F^{-1}(x)}{F^{-1}(x)^l + F^{-1}(x)^{-l}}.$$

The inverse of F is given by $F^{-1}(x) = (x + \sqrt{x^2+4})^{1/l}$. Assume that F^{-1} is v-moderate with constant $C = 1$, then

$$w(x+y) = l^{-1} \frac{F^{-1}(x+y)}{F^{-1}(x+y)^l + F^{-1}(x+y)^{-l}} \leq C^{1+l} w(x) \max\{v(y), v(-y)\}^{1+l}.$$

Therefore, $(F^{-1})'(x)$ is $\tilde{v}$-moderate with $\tilde{v} = \max\{v, v(-\cdot)\}^{1+l}$ a submultiplicative weight function. It remains to show moderateness of F^{-1}. To that end, define $v(x) := (1 + x + \sqrt{x^2+4})^{1/l}$ and observe

$$\frac{y + x + \sqrt{(y+x)^2+4}}{1 + x + \sqrt{x^2+4}} = 1 + \frac{y + \sqrt{(y+x)^2+4} - \sqrt{x^2+4} - 1}{1 + x + \sqrt{x^2+4}} \leq y + \sqrt{(y+x)^2+4} - \sqrt{x^2+4}$$

and similarly $v(y+x)/v(x) \leq 1 + y + \sqrt{(y+x)^2+4} - \sqrt{x^2+4}$. By elementary manipulation and taking squares twice,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{(y+x)^2+4} &\leq \sqrt{y^2+4} + \sqrt{x^2+4} \\ \Leftrightarrow\ -3 &\leq y^2 + yx + x^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds, since 0 at $(y, x) = (0, 0)$ is the global minimum of $y^2 + yx + x^2$. This shows that v is submultiplicative and F^{-1} is v-moderate, concluding the proof of (b). For general $c, d > 0$, the proof follows the same steps.

(c): The third function is easily identified as being once continuously differentiable and point symmetric around 0. The corresponding weight function is given by

$$w(x) = \left(F^{-1}\right)'(x) = d\, e^{|x|/c}.$$

Similar to Example 1, we find that $w(x+y) = e^{|x|/c} w(y)$, which shows that w is indeed moderate with respect to the submultiplicative weight function $v(x) = e^{|x|/c}$.

(d): For the last warping function we also start by noting that it is in $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$. Further, we find

$$w(x) = \left(F^{-1}\right)'(x) = \frac{d}{l}(|x|/c + 1)^{1/l-1}.$$

Moreover, we define the weight function $v(x) := (|x|/c + 1)^{1/l-1}$, which is submultiplicative since

$$(1 + |x+y|/c)^{1/l-1} \leq (1 + |x|/c + |y|/c + |xy|/c^2)^{1/l-1} = (|x|/c + 1)^{1/l-1} (|y|/c + 1)^{1/l-1}.$$

Obviously, $w(x+y) \leq v(x) w(y)$, concluding the proof. □

4. Warped filter bank frames

We can now apply the results in Section 2.1 to warped filter banks in order to investigate their frame properties in more detail. The application of Proposition 1 is straightforward and leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let $\mathcal{G}(\theta, F, \mathbf{a})$ be a filter bank for $\mathbf{L}^2(D)$.*

(i) *If $\mathcal{G}(\theta, F, \mathbf{a})$ is a Bessel sequence with bound $B < \infty$, then*

$$\sum_m |\mathbf{T}_m \theta(t)|^2 \leq B < \infty, \text{ for almost all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{18}$$

If $\mathcal{G}(\theta, F, \mathbf{a})$ is a frame with lower bound $0 < A$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{O}(F^{-1}(-t)^{1+\epsilon}) \cap \mathcal{O}(|t|^{-1-\epsilon})$, then

$$0 < A \leq \sum_m |\mathbf{T}_m \theta(t)|^2, \text{ for almost all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{19}$$

(ii) *Assume that, there are constants $c < d$, such that $\operatorname{supp}(\theta) \subseteq [c, d]$. If $a_m^{-1} \geq F^{-1}(d+m) - F^{-1}(c+m)$, for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathcal{G}(\theta, F, \mathbf{a})$ forms a frame for $\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbf{L}^2(D)$ if and only if $0 < A \leq \sum_m |\mathbf{T}_m \theta(t)|^2 \leq B < \infty$ almost everywhere. Furthermore, $\mathcal{G}(\tilde{\theta}, F, \mathbf{a})$, with*

$$\tilde{\theta}(t) = \frac{\theta(t)}{\sum_m |\mathbf{T}_m \theta(t)|^2} \tag{20}$$

is a dual frame for $\mathcal{G}(\theta, F, \mathbf{a})$.

Proof. A straightforward argument shows that $\theta \in \mathcal{O}(F^{-1}(-t)^{1+\epsilon}) \cap \mathcal{O}(t^{-1-\epsilon})$ is sufficient for local integrability condition in [34, 6]. Therefore we can combine $g_m = \sqrt{a_m}\,(\mathbf{T}_m \theta)\,(F(\cdot))$ with Proposition 1 to obtain the result. □

The first statement shows that in order to construct well conditioned frames it is imperative that the translates of the original window θ have good summation properties. The second part of the corollary covers the *painless case*, which requires compactly supported frequency responses, as well as sufficiently small downsampling factors. For more general systems the frame property is much harder to investigate. In the following we make an effort to show that the warped filter bank for a given θ with sufficient decay will always satisfy the upper frame bound and even form a frame, if the downsampling factors are sufficiently small. This is of central interest, as it shows that the constructed systems also behave well for window functions θ with full support.

Theorem 2. *Let $F : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a warping function. Furthermore, assume that $\theta \in \mathcal{O}\left((1+|t|)^{-1-\epsilon}\right)$ and $\theta \in \mathcal{O}\left((1+|F^{-1}(t)|)^{-1-\epsilon}\right)$, for some $\epsilon > 0$ and satisfies Eqs. (18) and (19) for some $0 < A \leq B < \infty$. For any natural set of downsampling factors $\mathbf{a} = \tilde{a}/(Cv(m))$ the warped filter bank $\mathcal{G}(\theta, F, \mathbf{a})$ is a Bessel sequence. If $\tilde{a}$ is sufficiently small, then $\mathcal{G}(\theta, F, \mathbf{a})$ forms a frame.*

Remark 5. If $D = \mathbb{R}$, then the condition $\theta \in \mathcal{O}\left((1+|F^{-1}(t)|)^{-1-\epsilon}\right)$ implies $\theta \in \mathcal{O}\left((1+|t|)^{-1-\epsilon}\right)$, since F^{-1} is superlinear by Definition 3. Also note that in many cases, $\theta \in \mathcal{O}\left((1+|F^{-1}(t)|)^{-1-\epsilon}\right)$ also implies the condition $\theta \in \mathcal{O}\left(F^{-1}(-t)^{-1-\epsilon}\right)$

Before we can prove Theorem 2 above, we require the following auxiliary lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $F : D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a warping function such that $(F^{-1})'$ is v-moderate with constant $C > 0$. Then for any $x, y \geq 0$*

$$F(y) + F(x + F^{-1}(0)) \leq F(y + Cv(F(y))x). \tag{21}$$

Proof. By the v-moderateness of $(F^{-1})'$ we find that

$$\int_0^{\tilde{x}} (F^{-1})'(u+\tilde{y})\,du \leq Cv(\tilde{y}) \int_0^{\tilde{x}} (F^{-1})'(u)\,du.$$

Using the fundamental theorem of calculus (FTC) one arrives at the inequality

$$\tilde{x}+\tilde{y} \leq F\left(Cv(\tilde{y})\left(F^{-1}(\tilde{x})-F^{-1}(0)\right)+F^{-1}(\tilde{y})\right).$$

Setting $\tilde{x} = F\left(x+F^{-1}(0)\right)$ and $\tilde{y}=F(y)$ yields the assertion

$$F\left(x+F^{-1}(0)\right)+F(y) \leq F(Cv(F(y))x+y)$$

□

With Lemma 1 in place, we are now ready to prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. We first restrict ourselves to the case $D=\mathbb{R}$; the steps required to adapt the result to $D=\mathbb{R}^+$ are discussed at the end of the proof. Throughout the proof, we will repeatedly use the *Hurwitz zeta function* [45], defined as

$$\zeta(s,q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(q+k)^s}. \tag{22}$$

The function $\zeta(s,q)$ is finite for all $q>0, s>1$ and tends towards zero for s fixed and $q\to\infty$ or vice versa. We begin by proving the upper frame bound by showing that the sufficient condition Eq. (12) from Theorem 1 is satisfied. First, we rewrite that condition as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in D}\left[\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a_m}}|g_m(t)| \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\frac{1}{\sqrt{a_m}}\overline{g_m}(t-k/a_m)|\right] \\ &= \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in D}\left[\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} |(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t))| \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t-k/a_m))|\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

In the next step we use $\theta(t) \leq c(1+|F^{-1}(t)|)^{-1-\epsilon}$ for some $c>0$ to estimate the inner sum.

$$P_m(t) := \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t-k/a_m))| \leq c\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(1+\left|F^{-1}(F(t-k/a_m)-m)\right|\right)^{-1-\epsilon}. \tag{24}$$

We obtain $P_0(t) \leq 2c\tilde{a}^{1+\epsilon}\zeta(1+\epsilon,\tilde{a})$ immediately. For $m\neq 0$, we insert the natural downsampling factors $a_m = \tilde{a}/(Cv(m)) = \tilde{a}/C_m$,

$$P_m(t) \leq c\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(1+\left|F^{-1}(F(F^{-1}(m)+C_m(\tilde{t}+k/\tilde{a}))-m))\right|\right)^{-1-\epsilon}, \tag{25}$$

where we set $t = F^{-1}(m)+C_m\tilde{t}$. Clearly, P_m is a $\tilde{a}^{-1}$-periodic function in $\tilde{t}$. Assume without loss of generality that $\tilde{t}\in]0,\tilde{a}^{-1}]$, allowing us to divide the sum into terms with $k<0$ and $k\geq 0$. Let further $m\geq 0$ and apply Lemma 1 with $y=F^{-1}(m)$ and $x=\tilde{t}+k/\tilde{a}$ to continue estimating Eq. (25) by

$$\begin{aligned} &c\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0} \left(1+\left|F^{-1}(F(\tilde{t}+k/\tilde{a}))\right|\right)^{-1-\epsilon} \\ &= c\sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}_0} \left(1+\left|\tilde{t}+k/\tilde{a}\right|\right)^{-1-\epsilon} \leq c\tilde{a}^{1+\epsilon}\zeta(1+\epsilon,\tilde{a}). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Since F' is nonincreasing away from 0, we can use the following estimate for negative k

$$|F(F^{-1}(m)+C_m(\tilde{t}+k/\tilde{a}))-m| \geq |F(F^{-1}(m)+C_m(\tilde{t}-(k+1)/\tilde{a}))-m|, \tag{27}$$

to find consequently

$$P_m(t) \leq 2c\tilde{a}^{1+\epsilon}\zeta(1+\epsilon,\tilde{a}). \tag{28}$$

The same estimate can be obtained for $m<0$ using the symmetry of F. To conclude the proof of the upper frame bound, we recall that $\theta \leq d(1+|t|)^{-1-\epsilon}$ for some $d>0$ and therefore

$$\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{t\in D}\left[\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}|(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t))|\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}|(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t-k/a_m))|\right] \tag{29}$$

$$\leq 4cd\tilde{a}^{1+\epsilon}\zeta(1+\epsilon,\tilde{a})\zeta(1+\epsilon,1)<\infty. \tag{30}$$

We now turn our attention to the lower frame bound and show that the sufficient condition (11) is satisfied for $\tilde{a}$ sufficiently small. Our goal is to show that

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}|(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t))|\sum_{k\neq 0}|(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t-k/a_m))| \to 0, \tag{31}$$

as $\tilde{a}\to 0$, uniformly over $t\in D$. To this end, we proceed by defining

$$Q_m(t)=\sum_{k\neq 0}|(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t-k/a_m))|. \tag{32}$$

and estimating each Q_m separately.

If $m\in M_t := \{m\in\mathbb{Z} : t\in[-\frac{1}{2a_m},\frac{1}{2a_m}[+F^{-1}(m)\}$, then obvious modifications to the steps leading to the estimates for P_m yield

$$Q_m(t)\leq 2c\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\frac{k+1/2}{\tilde{a}}\right)^{-1-\epsilon} = 2c\,\tilde{a}^{1+\epsilon}\,\zeta(1+\epsilon,\tilde{a}+1/2), \tag{33}$$

which converges to 0 for $\tilde{a}\to 0$. It is worth mentioning that we again used the setting $t=F^{-1}(m)+C_m\tilde{t}$, which implies that $\tilde{t}\in[-\frac{1}{2\tilde{a}},\frac{1}{2\tilde{a}}]$, since $m\in M_t$.

If $m\notin M_t$, there is a unique $k_m\neq 0$, such that $t+\frac{k_m}{a_m}\in[-\frac{1}{2a_m},\frac{1}{2a_m}[+F^{-1}(m)$. This allows to split $Q_m(t)$ as

$$Q_m(t)=|(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t-k_m/a_m))|+\sum_{k\neq\{0,k_m\}}|(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t-k/a_m))|, \tag{34}$$

and estimate the second term as in Eq. (33).

Summing over m similar to Eq. (29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}|(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t))|Q_m(t)\\ &\leq 4cd\tilde{a}^{1+\epsilon}\,\zeta(1+\epsilon,\tilde{a}+1/2)\zeta(1+\epsilon,1)+\sum_{m\notin M_t}|(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t))|\,|(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t-k_m/a_m))|.\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

It remains to show that the second term tends to 0 as $\tilde{a}\to 0$. To establish this fact we introduce the (unique) integer n_t, such that $F(t)\in[n_t-1/2,n_t+1/2]$. Further we will estimate the term $|(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t))|$, while assuming $|(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t-k_m/a_m))|<c$, with the constant c as used in the previous steps.

We continue by showing that $m \notin M_t$ implies that $|n_t - m|$ is large for $\tilde{a}$ sufficiently small. More explicitly, we prove

$$m \notin M_t \Rightarrow |n_t - m + 1/2| \geq A(\tilde{a}), \tag{36}$$

for some function A with $A(\tilde{a}) \to \infty$, as $\tilde{a}$ tends to 0. To show the contraposition of this statement, note that $F^{-1}(n_t + 1/2) \leq F^{-1}(m) + (2a_m)^{-1}$ implies $m \in M_t$, as the interval containing t is a subset of the interval defining the set M_t.

Recall $\theta \leq d(1 + |t|)^{-1-\epsilon}$, with $d > 0$ as before, and assume $F(t) - m \geq 0$ to find

$$|(T_m\theta)(F(t))| \leq d\,(1 + (n_t - m - 1/2))^{-1-\epsilon}. \tag{37}$$

By the FTC and submultiplicativity of the function $(F^{-1})'$ we find that

$$F^{-1}(n_t+1/2)-F^{-1}(m) \leq \begin{cases} C\ (n_t - m + 1/2)\ v(n_t - m + 1/2)\ v(m) & \text{if } |m| < |n_t + 1/2|, \\ C\ (n_t - m + 1/2)\ v(m) & \text{else.} \end{cases} . \tag{38}$$

Recall $a_m = \tilde{a}/(Cv(m))$ to conclude that

$$(n_t - m + 1/2)\max\{1, v(n_t - m + 1/2)\} \leq \tilde{a}^{-1}/2 \Rightarrow m \in M_t. \tag{39}$$

For $F(t) - m < 0$, analogous derivations show

$$(n_t - m - 1/2)\max\{1, v(n_t - m - 1/2)\} \leq \tilde{a}^{-1}/2 \Rightarrow m \in M_t. \tag{40}$$

By defining the function A via its monotonous inverse $A^{-1}(\tau) = 2|\tau|^{-1}\max\{1, v(|\tau|^{-1})\}$ we indeed showed the desired implication Eq. (36) for both cases.

Putting together all the pieces, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \notin M_t} |(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t))|\,|(\mathbf{T}_m\theta)(F(t - k_m/a_m))| &\leq cd \sum_{|l| \geq A(\tilde{a})-1} (1 + |l|)^{-1-\epsilon} \\ &= 2cd\zeta(1 + \epsilon, A(\tilde{a})). \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Since the right hand side tends to zero for $\tilde{a} \to 0$ we have indeed shown that the sufficient condition for the lower frame bound is satisfied for sufficiently small $\tilde{a}$, which finishes the proof for $D = \mathbb{R}$.

For $D = \mathbb{R}^+$, the only adjustment necessary to prove the upper bound is that we assume $\tilde{t} \in]0, \tilde{a}^{-1}] - \frac{F^{-1}(m)}{Cv(m)}$. Then we need only consider $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By moderateness of $(F^{-1})'$ and the FTC, $\frac{F^{-1}(m)}{Cv(m)} \leq F^{-1}(0)$ and we obtain the estimate $P_m \leq c\tilde{a}^{1+\epsilon}\zeta(1+\epsilon, \tilde{a})$. When proving the lower bound, we obtain the modified estimate for the first term of the RHS of Eq. (35) in the same way. The rest of the proof is analogous. □

By proving that the warped system $\mathcal{G}(\theta, F, \mathbf{a})$ satisfies the upper bound (12) for all choices of natural downsampling factors, we have also shown that it satisfies the α-LIC. Therefore, we can access the results presented in [37].

Remark 6. The choice $a_m = \tilde{a}/C_m$ is not only useful to determine the sufficient condition in Theorem 2, but also gives viable estimates to satisfy the painless case conditions, Corollary 1 (ii). More explicitly, if $\tilde{a}^{-1} \geq F^{-1}(d) - F^{-1}(c)$, then $a_m = \tilde{a}/C_m$ guarantees $a_m^{-1} \geq F^{-1}(d - m) - F^{-1}(c - m)$ by Lemma 1.

4.1. **Tight and well-conditioned frames.** The remainder of this section is devoted to the construction of tight frames following a given frequency scale. Using the framework of warped filter banks this is easily realized via the painless case result presented in Corollary 1. This is one of the major assets of the presented construction. Tight transforms are desirable, as they allow for analysis and synthesis with the same system. Therefore it is not necessary to compute and store a dual system, both of which are not always feasible, e.g. if the dual systems do not share the same filter bank structure [35]. Furthermore, only the primal system can be constructed explicitly to have good localization properties. For tight systems the dual system automatically shares the same properties, while for nontight systems the application of the inverse frame operator might lead to a significant loss in structure and localization.

Corollary 2. *Let $\mathcal{G}(\theta, F, \mathbf{a})$ be a warped filter bank.*

- *If $\mathcal{G}(\theta, F, \mathbf{a})$ satisfies the conditions of Corollary 1 (ii), then $\mathcal{G}(\theta, F, \mathbf{a})$ is a tight frame if and only if*

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} |T_m\theta(t)|^2 = C. \tag{42}$$

- *If $\mathcal{G}(\theta, F, \mathbf{a})$ forms a tight frame and $\theta \in \mathcal{O}(F^{-1}(-t)^{1+\epsilon}) \cap \mathcal{O}(t^{-1-\epsilon})$, then Eq. (42) is satisfied.*

Proof. Both parts are immediate consequences of Corollary 1. □

We see that, even if the condition $a_m^{-1} \geq F^{-1}(d+m) - F^{-1}(c+m)$ is violated, Eq. (42) is a necessary condition for tightness, if θ satisfies a mild decay condition. Therefore, θ that satisfy Eq. (42) are the optimal starting point when aiming to construct well-conditioned warped frames. Although surely not the only methods for obtaining functions satisfying Eq. (42), two classical methods provide compact support and a prescribed smoothness: B-splines [15] and windows constructed as a superposition of truncated cosine waves of different frequency [44]. The second class contains suitable dilates of classical window functions such as the Hann, Hamming and Blackman windows. In the following we recall a procedure to construct functions in this class such that Eq. (42) is satisfied. The method has previously been reported in [51].

Proposition 3. *Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b_k \in \mathbb{R}$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, K\}$, and define*

$$q(t) := \sum_{k=0}^{K} b_k \cos(2\pi k t)\mathbf{1}_{[-1/2,1/2[}. \tag{43}$$

Then for any $R > 2K$

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} \left|q\left(t/R - m\right)\right|^2 = Rb_0^2 + \frac{R}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{K} b_k^2, \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R};$$

i.e. the squares of a system of regular translates $(T_m\theta)_{m\in\mathbb{Z}}$, with $\theta = q(\cdot/R)$, sums up to a constant function.

The proposition above can be combined with Corollary 2 to easily construct tight frames by choosing the downsampling factors a_m to satisfy

$$a_m^{-1} \geq F^{-1}(R/2 + m) - F^{-1}(-R/2 + m). \tag{44}$$

In the following, we will demonstrate this for the examples given in Section 3. The well-studied wavelet case behaves similar to the ERBlet case and is therefore omitted.

Example 5 (Warped ERBlet tight frames). For the warping function $F(t) = \operatorname{sgn}(t)\log(1+|t|)$ introduced in Example 3. By Eq. (44), we want to determine a_m such that

$$a_m^{-1} \geq \operatorname{sgn}(m+R/2)(e^{|m+R/2|}-1) - \operatorname{sgn}(m-R/2)(e^{|m-R/2|}-1).$$

We need to distinguish 2 different cases, namely $|m| \geq R/2$, i.e. the support of $\mathbf{T}_m\theta$ does not cross the origin, and $|m| < R/2$. We obtain

$$a_m^{-1} \geq \begin{cases} (e^{|m|+R/2}-1) - (e^{|m|-R/2}-1) = e^{|m|}(e^{R/2}-e^{-R/2}) & \text{for } |m| \geq R/2, \\ (e^{m+R/2}-1) + (e^{-m+R/2}-1) = e^{R/2}(e^{|m|}+e^{-|m|}) - 2 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

i.e. the minimal a_m^{-1} equals $e^{|m|}$, up to a constant depending solely on R.

We now choose the popular Hann window

$$q(t) = \begin{cases} 1/2 + 1/2\cos(2\pi t) & \text{for } t \in [-1/2, 1/2] \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

It satisfies Proposition 3 for any $R \geq 3$. With $R = 3$, we obtain that F and $\theta(t) = 1/2 + 1/2\cos(2\pi t/3)$ form a tight warped filter bank with

$$a_m = \begin{cases} e^{-|m|}(e^{3/2}-e^{-3/2})^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{4.26e^{|m|}} & \text{for } |m| \geq 2, \\ e^{3/2}(e^1+e^{-1}) - 2 > \frac{1}{11.84} & \text{for } |m| = 1, \\ (2e^{3/2}-2)^{-1} > \frac{1}{6.97} & \text{for } m = 0. \end{cases}$$

Example 6 (Tight frames for $F(t) = \operatorname{sgn}(t)((1+|t|)^l - 1)$). For the warping function introduced in Example 4, we want to determine a_m that satisfy Eq. (44). Restricting to $p := 1/l \in \mathbb{N}$ for simplicity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_m^{-1} &\geq \begin{cases} (1+|m|+R/2)^p - (1+|m|-R/2|)^p & \text{for } |m| \geq R/2, \\ (1+R/2+m)^p + (1+R/2-m)^p - 2 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \sum_{k\in\mathbf{O}_p} \binom{p}{k} 2^{1-k}(1+|m|)^{p-k}R^k & \text{for } |m| \geq R/2, \\ -2 + 2\sum_{k\in\mathbf{E}_p} \binom{p}{k}(1+R/2)^{p-k}|m|^k & \text{else,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{O}_p$ is the set of positive, odd numbers less or equal to p and $\mathbf{E}_p$ is the set of nonnegative, even numbers less or equal to p.

Now let $l = 1/2$, and choose the Hann window again. With $R = 3$, we obtain that $F(t) = \operatorname{sgn}(t)(\sqrt{1+|t|}-1)$ and $\theta(t) = 1/2 + 1/2\cos(2\pi t/3)$ form a tight warped filter bank with

$$a_m = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6+6|m|} & \text{for } |m| \geq 2, \\ \frac{2}{25} & \text{for } |m| = 1, \\ \frac{2}{21} & \text{for } m = 0. \end{cases}$$

Example 7 (Tight frames for $F(t) = x^l - x^{-l}$). Finally, let us revisit the warping function introduced in Example 2. Although Eq. (44) is easily evaluated, more instructive estimates can be calculated by a weaker condition, obtained from Eq. (44) via the FTC:

$$\begin{aligned} a_m^{-1} &\geq R(F^{-1})'(m+R/2) \\ &= Rl^{-1}(m+R/2+\sqrt{(m+R/2)^2+4})^{1/l-1}\left(1 - \frac{1}{1+(m+R/2+\sqrt{(m+R/2)^2+4})^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing the Hann window with $R = 4$ and $l = 1$, we obtain $a_m^{-1} \geq 4\left(1 - \frac{1}{1+(m+2+\sqrt{(m+2)+4})^2}\right)$, which converges to 0 for $m \to -\infty$ and is bounded above by 4. Therefore, F and and $\theta(t) = 1/2 + 1/2\cos(2\pi t/4)$ form a tight warped filter bank with

$$a_m = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} & \text{for } m > 0, \\ \frac{1}{4}(1 + \frac{1}{12+8\sqrt{2}}) > \frac{25}{96} & \text{for } m = 0, \\ \frac{1}{4}(1 + \frac{1}{6+2\sqrt{5}}) > \frac{12}{44} & \text{for } m = -1, \\ \frac{5}{16} & \text{for } m \leq -2. \end{cases}$$

For $l = 1/2$, we obtain

$$a_m^{-1} \geq 8\left(m + 2 + \sqrt{m^2 + 4m + 8}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + (m + 2 + \sqrt{(m+2)+4})^2}\right),$$

which converges to 0 for $m \to -\infty$ and is bounded above by $16(m+\sqrt{2}+1)\left(1 - \frac{1}{5+2m}\right)$ for $m \geq 0$. Therefore, F and $\theta(t) = 1/2 + 1/2\cos(2\pi t/4)$ form a tight warped filter bank with

$$a_m = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{16(m+\sqrt{2}+1)}\left(1 + \frac{1}{4+2m}\right) > \frac{1}{20m+50} & \text{for } m \geq 0, \\ \frac{2+\sqrt{5}}{16(3+\sqrt{5})} & \text{for } m = -1, \\ \frac{3}{32} & \text{for } m \leq -2. \end{cases}$$

Note that the estimates for $m \leq -2$ are very coarse and any sequence of a_m converging towards 0 *slowly enough* for $m \to -\infty$ will do.

5. Conclusion and Outlook

In this contribution, we have introduced a novel, flexible family of structured time-frequency representations. We have shown that these representations are able to recreate or imitate important classical time-frequency representations, while providing additional design freedom. The representations proposed herein allow for intuitive handling and the application of important classical results from the theory of structured frames. In particular, the construction of tight frames of bandlimited filters reduces to the selection of a compactly supported prototype function whose integer translates satisfy a simple summation condition and sufficiently small downsampling factors. Moreover, the warping construction induces a natural choice of downsampling factors that further simplify frame design. With several examples, we have illustrated not only the flexibility of our method when selecting a warping function, or equivalently a frequency scale, but also the ease with which tight frames can be constructed.

While the second part of this manuscript series [36] discusses the integral transform analogue of the proposed construction, the associated (generalized) coorbit spaces and its sampling properties in the context of atomic decompositions and Banach frames [23, 24, 28], future work will continue to explore practical applications of warped time-frequency representations and their finite dimensional equivalents on $\mathbb{C}^L$. The proper extension of the warping method and the presented results to function spaces in higher dimensions poses another interesting problem.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) START-project FLAME ("Frames and Linear Operators for Acoustical Modeling and Parameter Estimation"; Y 551-N13) and the Vienna Science and Technology Fund (WWTF) Young Investigators project CHARMED ("Computational harmonic analysis of high-dimensional biomedical data"; VRG12-009). The authors wish to thank Jakob Lemvig for constructive comments on a preprint of the manuscript.

References

1. S. Akkarakaran and P. P. Vaidyanathan, *Nonuniform filter banks: new results and open problems*, Wavelets and Their Applications (G.V. Welland, ed.), Studies in Computational Mathematics, vol. 10, Elsevier, 2003, pp. 259–301.
2. P. Balazs, M. Dörfler, F. Jaillet, N. Holighaus, and G. A. Velasco, *Theory, implementation and applications of nonstationary Gabor frames*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **236** (2011), no. 6, 1481–1496.
3. R. G. Baraniuk, *Warped perspectives in time-frequency analysis*, Time-Frequency and Time-Scale Analysis, 1994., Proceedings of the IEEE-SP International Symposium on, (1994), 528–531.
4. R. G. Baraniuk and D.L. Jones, *Warped wavelet bases: unitary equivalence and signal processing*, Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, 1993. ICASSP-93., 1993 IEEE International Conference on, **3** (1993), 320–323.
5. H. Bölcskei, F. Hlawatsch, and H. G. Feichtinger, *Frame-theoretic analysis of oversampled filter banks*, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. **46** (1998), no. 12, 3256–3268.
6. O. Christensen, M. Hasammasab, and J. Lemvig, *Explicit constructions and properties of generalized shift-invariant systems in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$*, to be submitted (2015).
7. O. Christensen, *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases.*, Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
8. O. Christensen and S. S. Goh, *From dual pairs of Gabor frames to dual pairs of wavelet frames and vice versa*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. (2013).
9. ———, *Fourier-like frames on locally compact abelian groups*, Journal of Approximation Theory **192** (2015), no. 0, 82 – 101.
10. R. R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, S. Quake, and M. V. Wickerhauser, *Signal processing and compression with wavelet packets*, Wavelets and Their Applications (J.S. Byrnes, JenniferL. Byrnes, KathrynA. Hargreaves, and Karl Berry, eds.), NATO ASI Series, vol. 442, Springer Netherlands, 1994, pp. 363–379 (English).
11. A. Cordoba and C. Fefferman, *Wave packets and Fourier integral operators.*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **3** (1978), 979–1005.
12. I. Daubechies, *The wavelet transform, time-frequency localization and signal analysis.*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **36** (1990), no. 5, 961–1005.
13. ———, *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, vol. 61, SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1992.
14. I. Daubechies, A. Grossmann, and Y. Meyer, *Painless nonorthogonal expansions*, J. Math. Phys. **27** (1986), no. 5, 1271–1283.
15. C. De Boor, *A practical guide to splines.*, New York: Springer, 1978.
16. M. Dörfler and E. Matusiak, *Nonstationary Gabor frames - Approximately dual frames and reconstruction errors*, Advances in Computational Mathematics (2014), 1–24 (English).
17. ———, *Nonstationary Gabor frames - Existence and Construction*, International Journal of Wavelets, Multiresolution and Information Processing (2014).
18. R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series.*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1952), 341–366.
19. Gianpaolo Evangelista and Sergio Cavaliere, *Discrete frequency warped wavelets: theory and applications*, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing **46** (1998), no. 4, 874–885.
20. G. Evangelista, M. Dörfler, and E. Matusiak, *Arbitrary phase vocoders by means of warping*, Musica/Tecnologia **7** (2013).
21. H. G. Feichtinger, *English translation of: Gewichtsfunktionen auf lokalkompakten Gruppen*, Sitzungsber.d.österr. Akad.Wiss. **188**.
22. H. G. Feichtinger and M. Fornasier, *Flexible Gabor-wavelet atomic decompositions for L_2 Sobolev spaces*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **185** (2006), no. 1, 105–131.

23. H. G. Feichtinger and K. Gröchenig, *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, I*, J. Funct. Anal. **86** (1989), no. 2, 307–340.
24. ———, *Banach spaces related to integrable group representations and their atomic decompositions, II*, Monatsh. Math. **108** (1989), no. 2-3, 129–148.
25. H. G. Feichtinger and T. Strohmer, *Gabor Analysis and Algorithms. Theory and Applications.*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.
26. ———, *Advances in Gabor Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.
27. G. B. Folland, *Harmonic Analysis in Phase Space*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1989.
28. M. Fornasier and H. Rauhut, *Continuous frames, function spaces, and the discretization problem*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **11** (2005), no. 3, 245–287.
29. D. Gabor, *Theory of communication*, J. IEE **93** (1946), no. 26, 429–457.
30. B. R. Glasberg and B. Moore, *Derivation of auditory filter shapes from notched-noise data*, Hearing Research **47** (1990), 103–138.
31. K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2001.
32. ———, *Weight functions in time-frequency analysis*, Pseudodifferential Operators: Partial Differential Equations and Time-Frequency Analysis (Luigi Rodino and et al., eds.), Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 52, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 343–366.
33. W. M. Hartmann, *Signals, sound, and sensation*, Springer, 1997.
34. E. Hernández, D. Labate, and G. Weiss, *A unified characterization of reproducing systems generated by a finite family. II.*, J. Geom. Anal. **12** (2002), no. 4, 615–662.
35. N. Holighaus, *Structure of nonstationary Gabor frames and their dual systems*, ArXiv e-prints (2013).
36. N. Holighaus, C. Wiesmeyr, and P. Balazs, *Construction of warped time-frequency representations on nonuniform frequency scales, Part II: Integral transforms, function spaces, atomic decompositions and Banach frames.*, submitted, preprint available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1503.05439 (2015).
37. M. S. Jakobsen and J. Lemvig, *Reproducing formulas for generalized translation invariant systems on locally compact abelian groups*, Transactions of the AMS (2015, *preprint available: http://http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.4948*).
38. A. J. E. M. Janssen, *The duality condition for Weyl-Heisenberg frames.*, Gabor Analysis and Algorithms: Theory and Applications (Hans G. Feichtinger and T. Strohmer, eds.), 1998, pp. 33–84, 453–488.
39. S. Mallat, *A wavelet tour of signal processing: The sparse way*, Third ed., Academic Press, 2009.
40. H. Malvar, *Signal Processing with Lapped Transforms*, Boston, MA: Artech House. xvi, 1992.
41. B. Moore, *An introduction to the psychology of hearing*, vol. 4, Academic press San Diego, 2003.
42. B. Nazaret and M. Holschneider, *An interpolation family between Gabor and wavelet transformations: Application to differential calculus and construction of anisotropic Banach spaces.*, Nonlinear Hyperbolic Equations, Spectral Theory, and Wavelet Transformations A Volume of Advances in Partial Differential Equations (et al. and Sergio Albeverio, eds.), Operator Theory, Advances and Applications, vol. 145, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003, pp. 363–394.
43. T. Necciari, P. Balazs, N. Holighaus, and P. Sondergaard, *The ERBlet transform: An auditory-based time-frequency representation with perfect reconstruction*, Proceedings of the 38th International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing (ICASSP 2013), 2013, pp. 498–502.
44. A. Nuttall, *Some windows with very good sidelobe behavior*, Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, IEEE Transactions on **29** (1981), no. 1, 84–91.
45. F. Olver, *NIST handbook of mathematical functions*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
46. A. V. Oppenheim and C. Braccini, *Unequal bandwidth spectral analysis using digital frequency warping*, IEEE Trans. Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing **22** (1974), no. 4, 236– 244 .
47. J. Princen and A Bradley, *Analysis/synthesis filter bank design based on time domain aliasing cancellation*, Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, IEEE Transactions on **34** (1986), no. 5, 1153–1161.
48. J. Princen, A Johnson, and A Bradley, *Subband/transform coding using filter bank designs based on time domain aliasing cancellation*, Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, IEEE International Conference on ICASSP '87., vol. 12, Apr 1987, pp. 2161–2164.
49. K. Ramchandran, Z. Xiong, C. Herley, and M. Orchard, *Flexible Tree-structured Signal Expansions Using Time-varying Wavelet Packets*, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. **45** (1997), 233–245.
50. A. Ron and Z. Shen, *Generalized shift-invariant systems.*, Constr. Approx. **22** (2005), 1–45.
51. D. Shuman, C. Wiesmeyr, N. Holighaus, and Pierre Vandergheynst, *Spectrum-Adapted Tight Graph Wavelet and Vertex-Frequency Frames*, ArXiv e-prints (2013).

52. M. Speckbacher and P. Balazs, *The continuous nonstationary Gabor transform on LCA groups with applications to representations of the affine Weyl-Heisenberg group*, subm, subm.
53. T. Strohmer, *Numerical algorithms for discrete Gabor expansions*, Gabor Analysis and Algorithms: Theory and Applications (H. G. Feichtinger and T. Strohmer, eds.), Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 1998, pp. 267–294 .
54. T. Twaroch and F. Hlawatsch, *Modulation and warping operators in joint signal analysis*, Proceedings of the IEEE-SP International Symposium on Time-Frequency and Time-Scale Analysis, 1998. (Pittsburgh, PA, USA), oct 1998, pp. 9–12 .
55. E. Wesfreid and M. V. Wickerhauser, *Adapted local trigonometric transforms and speech processing*, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. **41** (1993), no. 12, 3596–3600.

† Acoustics Research Institute Austrian Academy of Sciences, Wohllebengasse 12–14, A-1040 Vienna, Austria
E-mail address: nicki.holighaus@oeaw.ac.at

* NuHAG, Faculty of Mathematics, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, A-1090 Vienna, Austria
E-mail address: christoph.wiesmeyr@univie.ac.at